

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	1.77000	
711 Electricities	TIENTO DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/688,240	10/17/2003	Reinhold Opper	0275M-000769	2494
27572 7590 12/13/2004			EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828			EDMONDSON, LYNNE RENEE	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1725	
			DATE MAILED: 12/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comment	10/688,240	OPPER, REINHOLD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lynne Edmondson	1725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app. Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a) In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day; ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONF	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. & 133)				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Se	ptember 2004.					
Pa) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 and 28-30 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 19-21 and 23-27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 22 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 October 2003</u> is/are:						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign palace All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	have been received. have been received in Application by documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e tent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/688,240

Art Unit: 1725

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 19-21 and 23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wolfe et al. (USPN 4541055).

Wolfe teaches a processing method and device comprising a component processing device, a laser, a reference position or point and a connecting element (36) connectable to the component (figures 3I-3K, col 2 lines 20-60). The reference point can be changed or adjusted relative to other parts of the apparatus (col 16 lines 54-68 and col 18 lines 38-60). The beam is directable at an angle (col 19 lines 10-33) and variably focused and projected (col 28 line 60 – col 29 line 5).

# Response to Arguments

3. Regarding applicant's argument that Wolfe does not teach a single laser beam, see figures 3I-3L, column 10 line 67 to column 11 line 32 and column 15 line 9 to column 16 line 36 which show and teach a single laser beam 178 which is moved through a sequence of steps to perform a series of lasing operations. Column 11 lines 23-26 particularly teach that a split beam is an alternative to the single controlled beam. Column 16 lines 54-68 teach alignment of the single beam.

Application/Control Number: 10/688,240

Art Unit: 1725

Therefore the 102 rejection of claims 19-21 and 23-27 as anticipated by Wolfe stands.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claim 22 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Claims 1-18 and 28-30 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Muchnik (US 2004/0216319 A1), Hayata et al. (US 2003/0030821 A1, 2 beams) and Drygalla et al. (DE 19817434, hand operable laser).
- 7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 1725

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynne Edmondson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1172. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lynne Edmondson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725

**LRE** 

LYNNE R. EDMONDSON (18)